

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

F.A.O.No.1775 of 2005 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 8, 2015

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula

...Appellant

Versus

M/s Indane Cables, Hisar Road, Rohtak & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the parties.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This Court, on 19.10.2015, had passed the following order:-

“Mr.Dishant Rishi, Advocate for Mr.Namit Kumar, Advocate for the appellant submits, that appellant has taken back the brief from the office of Namit Kumar, Advocate.

Mr.Ravi Partap Singh, Asstt.Advocate General Haryana prays that fact about listing of the appeal shall be brought to the notice of Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. so that representation through their counsel will be available on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 8.12.2015.”

Despite the aforementioned facts, no body has put in appearance neither any efforts have been taken to serve the un-served respondents.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**December 8, 2015
ramesh**

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**