

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.02.18 12:40
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRR No. 1212 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 16.2.2015

Neelam

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pardeep Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarfraz Hussain, Advocate, for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the revisionist states that now the services of the revisionist have been terminated and she has got no source of income. Therefore, he seeks to withdraw the present revision as he wants to file a fresh application before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class concerned saying that now she has got no source of income.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.2.2015
sjks