

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7898 of 2015
Date of Decision: 16.07.2015**

VIKRAM SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate &
Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.11 dated 07.02.2015, under Section 406 IPC and 10/24 of Immigration Act, P.S. Jhansa, Distt. Kurukshetra.

On 08.05.2015, this Court passed the following order:-

“Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in offence under Section 406 IPC and 10/24 of Immigration Act, Police Station Jhansa, Distt. Kurukshetra.

Pointed allegations have been made against Vipin

Kumar who entered into an agreement with the complainant for sending Randeep and Harvinder Singh to Macau. Rama Nand took these two boys to Macau on 2.10.2014. The visa in question was ultimately found to be a tourist visa and the boys namely Randeep and Harvinder came back to India after finding that there was no work, nor any work permit can be issued in the circumstances seen by them. Allegations of cheating have been made accordingly.

So far as Karnail Kaur and Vikram Singh are concerned, according to this Court prima facie their complicity cannot be equated with the act attributable to Vipin Kumar and Rama Nand.

Notice of motion for 16.07.2015.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer to join the investigation on 15.05.2015 at 10.00 a.m.

In the event of his appearance, he shall be admitted to interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, he shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that Karnail Kaur has already been granted anticipatory bail by the Court of Sessions. Vipin Kumar and Rama Nand have already surrendered and they are in custody.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar states that challan has already been presented on 15.07.2015 and petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant however objects to the prayer on the ground that Vikram Singh is the person, who in fact

received the amount from the complainant. The agreement dated 13.09.2014 was executed between Vipin Kumar and Randeep Singh Sandhu.

At this stage, without advertng to merits of this case, order dated 08.05.2015 is made absolute.

Petitions stands disposed of.

July 16, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**