

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRR-1171-2013

Decided on : 26.03.2015

Gurmeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.J.S.Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.
Mr.Anupam Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the petition, the trial court has concluded the case and judgment has been rendered on 26.11.2013.

In this view of the matter, nothing survives in the present case.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

26.03.2015
sd