

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7844-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:17.03.2015

Innas @ Ghunna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vijay Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Learned State counsel submits that case is at the stage of recording defence evidence and trial being at its fag end, there is no ground to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

In view of the fact that the trial is likely to culminate in near future, the prayer of the petitioner is misconceived. Petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed. Petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to file afresh in case trial of the case is not concluded within a period of four months.

17.03.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE