

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7791 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.05.2015**

Kulbir Singh @ Bittu ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CRM-M-9723 of 2015

Surjit Kumar @ Babba ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Vide this order **CRM-M-7791 of 2015** titled **Kulbir Singh @ Bittu Vs. State of Punjab** and **CRM-M-9723 of 2015** titled as **Surjit Kumar @ Babba Vs. State of Punjab** are being disposed of.

The FIR was registered at the instance of one Gurmail Singh clerk/munshi in Suraj Pipe Industries. The allegations are that labourer Soti informed the complainant that about 10-12 persons came in midnight at about 1.30 PM in the factory premises with muffled faces and after some time they took away mobiles and metal rings made for preparing pipes from the canter. 46 metal rings and 100 iron strips were stated to be stolen by these 10-12 persons. Police

has already recovered 63 metal rings over and above quantity mentioned in FIR from the open spot near bridge. Kulbir Singh @ Bittu is stated to be involved in 5 other cases out of which in one case he has been acquitted.

Learned counsel states that all the cases have been registered when he was produced on production warrants and he is on bail. So far as Surjit Singh is concerned there is no antecedent behaviour of any criminal activities. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner has not been named in the FIR.

Kuldeep Singh @ Bittu is in custody since 18.11.2014 and Surjit Kumar @ Babba is in custody with effect from 11.01.2015.

Looking to the fact that recovery has already been effected and the petitioners are in custody with effect from the dates as mentioned above, they can be granted benefit of regular bail at this stage.

Let the petitioners be enlarged on bail, subject to furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridkot.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)

JUDGE

May 11, 2015
prince