

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 774 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 08, 2015

Avtar Singh and others,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. A.G Punjab

Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C, is for quashing of DDR No.20, dated 30.06.2014, lodged under Sections 324, 148, 149 of the IPC in FIR No.63, dated 30.06.2014, registered under Sections 447, 336, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC, at Police Station Banur, District Patiala on the basis of a compromise, arrived at between the parties.

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated 25.5.2015, report dated 4.9.2015 from the JMIC Mohali has been received attesting to the fact

that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Counsel for the parties have also stated that in fact the matter has been compromised between the parties amicably. Counsel for the State of Punjab has also confirmed this fact and states that there is no other case pending between the parties.

In **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has discussed in detail the inherent powers of the High Court in quashing criminal proceedings or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on the society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed and DDR No.20, dated 30.06.2014, lodged under Sections 324, 148, 149 of the IPC in FIR No.63, dated 30.06.2014, registered under Sections 447, 336, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC, at Police Station Banur, District Patiala, and all subsequent proceedings, emanating therefrom, are quashed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

September 08, 2015
`kk'