

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M 7675 of 2015

Date of decision : 18.05.2015

Gaurav Arora & ors.

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Haryana & anr.

....Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Chechi, Advocate for respondent no. 2.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 259 dated 16.06.2010 registered under sections 498A, 406, 506, 323 IPC at police station Sarai Khawaja, District Faridabad and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that during the pendency of this petition a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and dispute has been amicably settled. They submit that respondent No. 2 is present in court today and has been duly identified by Mr. Naveen Chechi, Advocate for respondent No. 2. They have placed on record affidavit of Harsha (respondent No. 2) which is taken on record as mark 'A' admitting therein the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties. It has been further stated in the affidavit that respondent No. 2 has no objection if the FIR and all consequential proceedings arising

therefrom are quashed. Learned counsel for the parties submit that in view of compromise, the impugned FIR deserves to be quashed.

Learned State counsel submits that in case a compromise has been arrived at between the parties, State would not stand in the way of quashing of FIR.

Heard.

Compromise is in the interest of the parties and after the matter has been resolved by an amicable settlement, no useful purpose is likely to be served by continuance of the criminal proceedings. In view of above, the present FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom deserve to be quashed in light of Full Bench judgment of this court in *Kulwinder Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab*, 2007(3) RCR(Crl.) 1052.

Resultantly, the present petition is allowed. The FIR in question and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

May 18, 2015

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE