

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

**CRM-M-7583 of 2015
Date of decision: 04.08.2015**

Vishal Veer

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

PARAMJEET SINGH, J.

Reply on behalf of State has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 04, 2015

sonia g.