IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-756-2015
Date of decision : 13.01.2015

Bharat Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr.R.K.Bagga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

***

REKHA MITTAL, J.

The petitioner prays for grant of interim bail during pendency of trial pertaining to FIR No.1001 dated 03.09.2013 registered in Police Station City Panipat for offence punishable under Section 21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (in short 'the Act').

Counsel for the petitioner submits that as marriage of the petitioner is scheduled to be performed on 17.01.2015 and other ceremonies are to be performed w.e.f. 07.01.2015 onwards, the petitioner may be allowed interim bail for a period of three weeks to enable him to get married of and discharge conjugal obligations.

Counsel for the State would submit that there are three invitation cards available on the police file in regard to marriage of the petitioner being fixed in different months on different dates. It is argued that bail application filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on

DAVINDER KUMAR
2015.01.17 15:25
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

March 19, 2014. In case, the petitioner is allowed interim bail, there is every likelihood of his absconding from the proceedings which disentitles him to get benefit of interim bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the records.

The petitioner filed CRM-M-9122-2014 for grant of regular bail which was dismissed by this Court on March 19, 2014. As the petitioner is in custody and has not been allowed bail keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence charged against him, there was no reason for the petitioner to allow his family to fix his marriage as he knew it fully well that he may not be in a position to be physically present on the scheduled date. In this view of the matter, the petitioner is not entitled to indulgence of this Court.

Dismissed.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

January 13, 2015.
Davinder Kumar

DAVINDER KUMAR
2015.01.17 15:25
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document