

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.01.23 17:50
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.5102 of 2006 (O&M)
Date of decision:22.01.2015

Dharam Sheel

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Raghubir Tejpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.A.S.Chaudhary, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.R.S.Dadwal, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, at the very outset, submits that since the Educational Tribunal is having the jurisdiction to decide this matter, let petitioner be relegated to his remedy before the Educational Tribunal.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the correctness of the statement made by the learned counsel for the State. However, he submits that petitioner may be permitted to supplement his claim already put.

In view of the above-said respective stands taken by the learned counsel for the parties, petitioner is relegated to his remedy before the Educational Tribunal, with liberty as prayed for.

Disposed of, accordingly.

22.01.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE