

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7326 of 2015  
Date of Decision: 10.04.2015**

**RANJIT SINGH @ SADHU**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Jaspreet Singh Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.277 dated 09.12.2014, under Sections 416/419/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC, P.S. City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

This Court on 09.03.2015 passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that in the FIR no role has been attributed to the petitioner. Petitioner is neither the beneficiary of the agreement to sell nor is the attesting witness. Petitioner was having relation with Gurpartap Singh.*

*Notice of Motion for 10.04.2015.*

*On the asking of Court, Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.*

*Learned DAG, Punjab on instructions from ASI Makhan Masih, who is present in Court in connection with connecting case CRM-M No.81 of 2015 fixed for today itself and the same is also taken up simultaneously submits that the petitioner Ranjit Singh is co-related to Gurpartap Singh and he provided the ID of Gurpartap Singh to the other person who ultimately impersonated Gurpartap Singh. Since the factum of impersonation could not be given effect in registration of the sale deed so any effort on the part of Iqbal Singh in impersonating Gurpartap Singh remained unfulfilled and the role attributed to the petitioner is only in respect of providing ID of Gurpartap Singh to the alleged impersonator for the purposes of impersonating said Gurpartap Singh in ultimate sale deed of the land in question.*

*The petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the Investigating Officer on 23.03.2015 at 10.00 a.m. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2)Cr.P.C.”*

In compliance to the aforesaid order, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and undertakes to join further investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harphool Singh admits the same and states that custodial interrogation of the

petitioner is no more required in further investigation of the case.

In view of the above, order dated 09.03.2015 is made absolute.

Petitions stands disposed of.

April 10, 2015

*Atik*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**