

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****MAHENDER @ MONU
Vs
STATE OF HARYANA****CRM-M No.7315 of 2015
Date of Decision: 08.04.2015
.....Petitioner
.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.139 dated 01.05.2012, under Sections 186/353/307/452/34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, P.S. Sadar, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

The FIR in question came to be registered on the basis of secret information as petitioner has come to sell looted Innova car. On such information, the Police party raided the site. Two persons were seen sitting in Innova car. On seeing the Police party they started running. They were chased by the Police party and in the meanwhile due to some strike from the side the vehicle in question turned on the heap of sand. Both the persons came out from the car and opened fire. The Police also opened fire. None was hit from the Police side. However the petitioner received two bullet injuries in his

legs.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is in custody since 06.05.2012 and has undergone 2 years 11 month and few days.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh states that petitioner is involved in number of cases. According to him, petitioner is involved in total 13 cases out of which he has been acquitted in 3 cases.

As against this learned counsel for the petitioner points out that 4 of the aforesaid cases are shown in the same Police Station and the FIRs have chronological order involving same type of offences during 2012.

Without adverting to the rival contentions on this issue, keeping in view the total period undergone by the petitioner, at this stage, I deem it appropriate to allow the petitioner to the concession of regular bail.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

April 08, 2015.

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE