

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-7190 OF 2015 (O&M)  
DATE OF DECISION : 1<sup>st</sup> OCTOBER, 2015

**Ritu Kathpal & others**

.... **Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab & another**

.... **Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deep Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Bajaj respondent No.2 in person.

\* \* \* \*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.85 dated 19.05.2013 registered under Section 380 IPC at Police Station Division No.4, District Jalandhar and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from learned CJM, Jalandhar after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. Learned CJM, Jalandhar has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Learned CJM, Jalandhar has also sent copy of the statements of parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

**1<sup>st</sup> October, 2015**  
*'raj'*

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**