

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7161 of 2015

Date of decision: 23rd April, 2015

Manmohan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The allegations against the petitioner accused Manmohan, who is facing trial before the learned lower Court, are that on 18.05.2014 deceased Laxmi Devi wife of the petitioner had died after a scuffle.

It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that he is in custody since 18.05.2014 and that the trial has not been completed and that the only witness so examined has not supported the prosecution which has been strongly opposed by the learned State counsel.

Heard.

Record of the trial Court reflects that the prosecution till date has examined six witnesses and that the averment of learned counsel for the petitioner that they have turned hostile is certainly not born out of the same, as complainant Sughad Singh father-in-law of the petitioner as PW1 has never been declared so by the learned trial Court.

Keeping in view seriousness of the allegations and the fact that the widow has died an unnatural death in her matrimonial home within one year of the marriage leaves no scope to take the case of the petitioner for grant of regular bail sympathetically.

Finding no merit, the petition stands dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 23, 2015
rps