

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl.Misc.No.M-7115 of 2015

Date of decision : 4.3.2015

Kallu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....
Present : Mr.Surinder Dagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.
.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioner prays for his release on pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.346 dated 8.7.2014 under Sections 392 and 201/34 IPC registered at Police Station Camp Palwal, District Palwal. The allegations against him and other co-accused are that on 7.7.2014 at about 6.00 a.m. when the complainant was distributing the newspapers, three young boys came on a motorcycle and accosted him to demand money and upon its refusal one of the boys took out a knife from his possession and snatched the motorcycle and Rs.2,000/- from his pocket. The person who had the knife was identified as Ravi while the other two boys remained unidentified but with the assertion of the complainant that he would be able to identify them if brought before him.

Ravi, the co-accused has already been granted regular bail by the court below.

The petitioner claims parity with him and states that the person who was identified has been granted bail and thus similar benefit should also be granted to him.

On due consideration of the matter, I am of the opinion that the petitioner cannot claim any parity with his co-accused who has been released on regular bail, as parameters and considerations governing grant of pre-arrest bail and regular bail are totally distinct. The petitioner is involved in a serious offence and has managed to run away despite the fact that the FIR was registered in July 2014. At this state of proceedings when his identification etc. has to be conducted, I am of the considered view that grant of pre-arrest bail would not be conducive to the cause of justice.

No ground is made out to grant bail.

Dismissed.

4.3.2015

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

dss