

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.Misc. No.M-7079 of 2015
Date of Decision : 11.12.2015

International Recreation and Amusement Company
and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

North Haryana State Pollution Control Board

.....Respondent

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. Gautam Dutt and Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocates for the
petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Advocate.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Petitioners, by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure ('Cr.P.C.' for short) seek quashing of the impugned complaint (Annexure P-1) and also the summoning order (Annexure P-2), however, without availing their equally, efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally efficacious, alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioners to approach this court again, if necessity arises. It is also made clear that if the petitioners file an appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order

within a period of six weeks from today, respondent-complainant shall not raise the issue of limitation.

Disposed of, accordingly.

11.12.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE