

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7066 of 2015

Date of decision: September 22, 2015

Pargat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab for respondent No. 1.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for
respondent Nos. 5 to 7.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 to 7 submits that without exhausting the process of law, respondent Nos. 5 to 7 shall not take possession of the vehicle owned and possessed by the petitioner.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition at this stage.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the above prayer.

Disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2015