

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.10430 of 2010

Date of decision: 12.1.2015

Baldev Singh Gill

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajiv Prashad, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Order sheet reveals that the petitioner has not been diligently pursuing the matter which shows the lack of bonafide in prosecuting his case.

Mr.Rajiv Prashad informs that the dismissal of the petitioner was on account of conviction recorded by the Special Court under Section 13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. The status of the appeal, in case filed, is not known against the conviction and sentence. He has been dismissed on account of the conduct which led to dismissal where State itself is the complainant in FIR No.112 dated 2.11.1993 under Sections 13(1)(e) and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act for possession of assets disproportionate to his own sources of income.

I have heard Mr.Rajiv Prashad, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab and do not find any valid and cogent grounds to interfere with the order passed by the State Government dismissing the petitioner from service.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed. However, dismissal of this petition will not affect the rights of the petitioner, in case he succeeds in appeal in which case, he would have a right to revive this petition.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

January 12, 2015
Paritosh Kumar