

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 690 of 2015
Date of decision : 08.05.2015**

Sazid

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.184 dated 30.04.2014, registered under Sections 323, 148, 149, 324, 452, 302, 120-B IPC and Section 25.54.59 of Arms Act, Police Station Sadar, District Panipat.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner was not named in the FIR nor he was identified by the complainant in the Court and the allegations against the petitioner are that he had

inflicted injury with a helmet and all the private witnesses have been examined and only the official witnesses are left. He urges that the petitioner has been arrested in June, 2014 and the trial is taking time.

The statement given by the complainant is on record. The petitioner was not named in the FIR. In the statement made before the Court, the complainant did not identify the petitioner.

In view of the submissions above and since the trial will take long time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

08.05.2015
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE