

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-No. 6845 of 2015**

**Date of decision: December 18, 2015**

**Tarsem Lal and others**

**----Petitioner(s)**

V/s

**State of Punjab and another**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vishal Aggarwal , Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Pathania, Advocate for the the complainant-respondent No.2.

---

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)**

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in a complaint case i.e. Complaint No.466/14 dated 30.12.2009 under Sections 323, 326, 148 and 149 IPC pending in the Court of Ld. CJM, Pathankot.

This Court, vide order dated 02.03.2015, has passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that with respect to this very occurrence the police case was registered against both the parties and both the parties have facing the trial. Later on, respondent no.2 Kashmiri Lal filed a private complaint and in the said complaint the petitioners have been summoned for the offences punishable under Sections 148, 323, 326 read with Section 149 Indian Penal Code by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot. He*

*contended that the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC is not made out as the injury was the loss of teeth.*

*Notice of motion for 18.03.2015.*

*In the meanwhile if the petitioners appears before the learned trial Court, they shall be admitted to the interim bail.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that pursuant to the order dated 02.03.2015, passed by this Court, the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court and have been admitted on interim bail.

In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioners has produced a photocopy of the order dated 09.03.2015 of Ld. CJM, Pathankot, whereby the petitioners have been admitted on bail. The said order dated 09.03.2015 is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court and have been admitted on interim bail, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 02.03.2015, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

**December 18, 2015**

Anjal

**[ HARI PAL VERMA ]  
JUDGE**