

**CM Nos.10724-725 of 2015 in/and
CWP No.5171 of 2007**

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CM Nos.10724-725 of 2015 in/and
CWP No.5171 of 2007
Date of Decision: 31.08.2015**

Ramesh Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Labour
Court, Ambala and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioners.

Ms. Vibha Dhiman, AAG, Haryana.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

CM No.10725 of 2015

The application is allowed and the main case is taken on Board to today itself for final disposal.

Main case

As similar matter was decided on 31.08.2009 by this court in CWP No.8173 of 2008 the operating portion of which is reproduced in para.6 of the judgment in the disposed of petition. The petitioner was co-worker under the same management, the respondent, and similarly situated as in the matter.

In order to maintain judicial parity, the request of the learned

counsel is accepted and the matter is remanded to the Labour Court for consideration of the case afresh in the light of the observations made in the judgment and order disposing of the aforesaid writ petition.

Therefore, the case is remanded to the Labour Court in the same terms.

The matter being an old one, the Labour Court would make an endeavour to decide the remand proceedings expeditiously and preferably within six months.

With this, the petition stands disposed of.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

31.08.2015
manju