

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-6681 of 2015
Date of Decision:-04.8.2015**

Pankor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for direction to the respondents to register the case under various provisions of the Indian Penal Code with a prayer that the matter be investigated from some senior police officer.

Reply by way of affidavit of Hardev Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (SD), Zira, District Ferozepur has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. In the reply, the respondents have taken the preliminary submission, which is read as under :-

“That brief facts of this case are that previously Partap Singh had moved an application dated 9.2.2013 before

the SSP, Ferozepur with the allegations that he is the attorney of petitioner Pankor. Said Pankor is the owner of property situated in the area of village Lok Ke Kalan, Tehsil Zira. On 27.1.1999 Balvir Kaur who is sister in law of petitioner had forged signature of petitioner and prepared attorney of petitioner in her father and thereafter vide sale deed dated 2.8.1999 she had alienated the property of petitioner in favour of Mukand Singh s/o Jagir Singh r/o Loh Ke in connivance with document writer and witnesses. Said application was marked to EO Wing Ferozepur for enquiry and during enquiry it transpired that petitioner denies her signatures on the said attorney whereas petitioner had not provided her admitted signature to the enquiry officer, moreover the dispute is of partition of landed property and same is civil in nature. After completion of enquiry, application of Partap Singh has been consigned into record room. After that neither petitioner nor her attorney had filed any other application before the answering respondents.”

The aforesaid preliminary submission indicates that the application submitted by the petitioner was marked to the Economic Wing for inquiry and during inquiry, it transpires that the petitioner denies her signatures on the said attorney, whereas the petitioner had not provided her admitted signature to the Inquiry Officer.

In view of the above, let petitioner should appear before the official respondents and comply the requirements as being raised by the official respondents. Accordingly, the official respondents are directed to take necessary action as warranted by law.

It is made clear that passing of the aforesaid order is not

having any reflection on the merits of the case.

Disposed of at this stage.

August 04, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE