

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.6603 of 2015
Date of Decision : 25.02.2015**

Preety and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Harinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset the learned counsel has pointed out that there is a typographical error in Annexure P-5 and inadvertently SSP Bathinda has been mentioned instead of SSP Moga. Allowed as prayed for. SSP Bathinda be read as SSP Moga in Annexure P-5.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 10.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Primary Class Examination

Certificate (Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 18.01.1994 whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Primary Class Examination Certificate (Annexure P-2) depicting his date of birth as 18.10.1992. They have also moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without advertent to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 25, 2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**