

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-6592 of 2015
Date of Decision:-03.8.2015**

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Prem Chand submits that in the case in hand, the trial is at the fag end of its conclusion as out of 24 prosecution witnesses, 23 witnesses have been examined.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

August 03, 2015
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**