

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6578 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.03.2015**

Chamkaur Singh @ Gaggu

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Kler, D.A.G., Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.76 dated 11.08.2014 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 34 IPC Police Station, Jaito District, Faridkot. Learned counsel states that the FIR was registered on the statement of Guljar Singh. The injury attributed to the petitioner is with wooden rod on the left side of forehead of Guljar Singh which is ultimately declared to be simple injury. Petitioner did not cause any injury to Ranjeet Singh, whose injury ultimately attracted applicability of Section 307 IPC. The petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2014. Challan has already been presented but no prosecution witness has been examined so far. However, the contention of the petitioner has

been refuted by learned DAG, Punjab by arguing that petitioner is involved in heinous crime.

Heard.

Since the petitioner is attributed with a simple injury and he is in custody since 18.08.2014. Trial would take some time to reach at culmination, therefore, at this stage without adverting to the merits of the case this Court feels just and expedient to grant regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of trial. Petitioner is granted regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

March 11, 2015
sonia

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE