

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6576-2015

Date of decision: 17.3.2015

Sahil alias Sabu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms.Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab assisted by  
ASI Raj Singh

\*\*\*\*

**SNEH PRASHAR, J.**

The instant petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 138 dated 10.5.2014, registered under Sections 307, 326, 324, 323, 427, 148, 149, 120-B, 341 of the Indian Penal Code (Sections 420, 467, 471 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar City.

It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been falsely implicated in the present case on the disclosure statement made by the co-accused during investigation. He further submits that no role has been attributed to him.

Similarly placed co-accused Shammi Randhawa alias Lali, Prabhjit

Singh Ratol and other have already been enlarged on bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since June, 2014. Nothing is to be recovered from him.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer of the petitioner. On instructions from SI Raj Singh, she has informed that *Dater* was recovered from the petitioner. Although challan stands presented but no witness has been examined so far.

Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Counsel representing the petitioner and Ms.Simsi Dhir Malhotra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab have been heard.

Petitioner was named by his co-accused during investigation. The weapon used i.e. *Dater* by the petitioner has already been recovered from him. Co-accused Shammi Randhawa alias Lalli Prabhjot Singh Ratol, Raman and others have already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide orders dated 2.12.2014 and 19.2.2015 passed in CRM-M-39146 of 2014 and CRM-40929-2014. The evidence are yet to start. Thus, the trial is likely to take a long time. The petitioner is in custody since June, 2014.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

17.3.2015  
gsv

**(SNEH PRASHAR)**  
**JUDGE**