

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-6484 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26th February, 2015

Visakha Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) and take action in accordance with law.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

As per representation (Annexure P-1), the petitioner wants to take legal action against respondent No.3-Rashpal Singh, who in connivance with respondent No.5-Sukhdarshan Singh etc. made an attempt to kill the petitioner. At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that no action has been taken against respondent Nos.3 to 5 Rashpal Singh, Sonu and Sukhdarshan Singh by the police and FIR should be got registered against them. As per ***Sakiri Vasu v. State of U.P. and others, 2008 (1) R.C.R. (Cr.) 392***, the alternative remedies are available to the petitioner and petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. should not be entertained in routine. The petitioner has alternative remedies which are available to him by filing criminal complaint or application under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. etc. and there is no need to pass the direction in this case.

In view of above, the instant petition stands disposed of.

26th February, 2015
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE