

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6383 of 2015**

**Date of decision: 03.03.2015**

**Parveen Kumar**

**.... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**.... Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana  
for the respondent-State.

**Jaspal Singh, J.(Oral)**

This is a petition preferred by Parveen Kumar, under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail during the pendency of the trial in a cross-version case under Sections 307, 326, 323, 341 and 34 IPC.

It is an undisputed fact that an occurrence took place on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2014 at about 08:15 p.m., in which Babbu brother of Parveen Kumar-petitioner succumbed to the injuries sustained at the hands of Kala Ram and others. Resultantly, above referred FIR was registered and the investigation was put into motion. Subsequent thereto, Kala Ram got registered a cross-version that Babbu (since deceased) and four other unknown accomplices caused injuries to him and his son Kuldeep. The name of the

petitioner does not figure in the cross-version, registered as per the statement of Kala Ram. Subsequently, the statement of Kuldeep son of Kala Ram was recorded by the Investigating Officer under Section 161 Cr.P.C. on 26<sup>th</sup> July, 2013, after a long gap of 15 days from the date of occurrence, stating that two stab injuries were caused to him by two different persons. He also levelled allegation that Parveen Kumar-petitioner inflicted injury in his waist with knife but there is no such corresponding injury on the person of Kuldeep, as per his Medico Legal Report.

The petitioner was arrested in this case on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2014. Since then, he is cooling his heels behind the bars. The disposal of the challan would certainly take long time and in the given circumstances, no useful purpose is going to be served by keeping him behind the bars.

Taking into consideration all these aspects and without expression of any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court deem it appropriate to extend the concession of bail. Accordingly, the application is allowed and Parveen Kumar-petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa.

**March 03, 2015**  
*m. sharma*

**(JASPAL SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**