

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 6342 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.02.2015

111

Kamalpreet and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with

Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 and other relatives because they are stated to have contracted marriage between themselves on 22.02.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 19.08.1993 and 25.01.1991, respectively. True copies of Matriculation Examination Certificate and Middle Examination Certificate of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P2 and P3. Petitioner No. 1 – Kamalpreet was divorced from her first husband by mutual consent on

10.02.2015. Photocopy of the judgment dated 10.02.2015 passed by District Judge, Ferozepur is annexed as Annexure P-4. A copy of the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexure P-5. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 22.02.2015 (Annexure P6) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala and Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Varun Sharma, DAG, Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala is directed to decide the representation dated 22.02.2015 (Annexure P6) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 25, 2015

rts

RITU SHARMA
2015.02.26 12:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE