

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 6260 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.02.2015.

109

Rozy and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 7 to 13 and other relatives because they are stated to have contracted marriage between themselves on 22.02.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P1 and P2. It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 10.02.1993 and 21.04.1989 respectively. True copies of Secondary Examination Certificate and Matriculation certificate of both the

petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P3 and P4. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 23.02.2015 Annexure P9 (wrongly mentioned as 23.01.2015 in Annexure P-9) to respondents No.3 and 4 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar and Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Sullar, Addl. AG, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6. Six copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No. 3 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar is directed to decide the representation dated 23.02.2015 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 25, 2015
rts

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE