

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6223 of 2015
Date of Decision: 04.03.2015**

RAHUL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Harish Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

This is a petition for grant of regular bail to the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.31 dated 11.03.2014 registered under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B of the IPC at Police Station Phase-8, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

The FIR in question has been registered against Vikram Singh and four unknown persons. During the course of investigation, Vikram Singh was found innocent, but according to his statement, persons namely Charanjit Singh, Rahul Kumar (petitioner), Harmel Singh (still at large) and Karmjit Singh contacted him in the context of selling the flat in question. The aforesaid persons are alleged to have moved an application in GMADA office for the grant of NOC for

the purpose of transaction. However, no such permission was granted by the office of GMADA.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that name of the petitioner does not find mention in the FIR nor any specific part has been attributed to him. According to him, petitioner has been implicated at a subsequent stage that too on the statement of the main accused Dr. Vikram Singh, who has since been found innocent by the Police during the course of investigation.

Petitioner was arrested on 15.01.2015 and is in judicial custody. No useful purpose would be served in detaining the petitioner any more. There is no antecedent behaviour of any criminal activity on the part of the petitioner. Offences are triable by the Court of the Magistrate and the trial would take long time to conclude.

In the light of aforesaid, without commenting anything on the merits lest it may prejudice the case of the either side, let the petitioner be enlarged on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Mohali.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

Petition stands disposed of.

March 04, 2015.

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE