

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6193-2015
Date of decision:18.05.2015**

Sushmita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.K.S.Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.Jaspreet Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.9 dated 31.1.2015 registered under Sections 494 and 420 IPC at Police Station, Division No.6, Jalandhar.

Notice of motion was issued and interim protection was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and she is no more required for the purpose of any further investigation.

The above-said statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner has not been denied by the learned counsel for the State and rightly so, it being a matter of record. On instructions from ASI Narinder Singh, Police Station, Division No.6, Jalandhar, learned counsel for the State submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

However, learned counsel for the complainant vehemently contended that over all conduct of the petitioner does not entitle her for the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after careful perusal of record of the case, the petitioner has been found entitled for concession of pre-arrest bail. Consequently the order dated 25.2.2015 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute, however, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of, accordingly.

18.05.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE