

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M- 6141 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 24.02.2015**

108

Deepika and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present:     Petitioners in person with  
                  Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate  
                  for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**LISA GILL, J.**

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 and other relatives because they are stated to have contracted marriage between themselves on 18.02.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 02.09.1992 and 22.03.1993, respectively. True copies of driving licence of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2. A copy of the Marriage

Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P3 and P4. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Addl. AG, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad is directed to decide the representation dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P5) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 24, 2015  
rts

(LISA GILL)  
JUDGE