

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2494 of 2012 (O&M)  
DECIDED ON : August 03, 2015

Kuljit Kaur

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate  
for the applicant/petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. K. S. Rekhi, Advocate  
for the non-applicant-respondent No.2.

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM No. 20501 of 2015**

This is an application for placing on record the affidavit of complainant dated October 19, 2012 (Annexure P-4) vide which respondent No.2 has compromised the matter and for disposing of the captioned revision petition in terms thereof.

Learned counsel for non-applicant/respondent No.2 has submitted that he has no objection in the case instant application is allowed.

In view of averments made in the application as well statement of learned counsel for non-applicant/respondent No.2, instant application is allowed and Annexure P-4 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case is taken up on today itself.

**Main case**

The instant petition has been preferred by Kuljit Kaur, feeling dissatisfied against the judgement dated August 03, 2012 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar confirming the conviction under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act vide judgment and order dated November 29, 2011 passed by learned Sub Divisonal Judicial Magistrate, Baba Bakala.

2. During the pendency of present revision petition, parties to the revision petition arrived at compromise and the settlement agreement was reduced into writing on October 19, 2012. Further, in compliance of terms and conditions set out in the settlement agreement, the petitioner made the payment to the tune of ₹2,00,000/- respondent No.2-Gajjan Singh, as is evident from order dated October 19, 2012 and the matter was finally settled. Now, there remains no dispute between the parties.

3. In view of compromise arrived at between the parties, the instant revision petition is accepted and the conviction and sentence imposed upon the petitioner vide judgments referred above are set aside.

4. The petitioner stands acquitted of the charge.

**August 03, 2015**  
sham

**(JASPAL SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**