

249

Karan Singh ... Petitioner.

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents.

Ms. Mahima, AAG, Haryana.

2. Vide order dated 08.01.2015, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise. Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by JMIC, Narnaul, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been

recorded and that they have voluntarily compromised the matter. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of the report of trial Court.

3. A perusal of allegations in the First Information Report reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**', 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) **543** and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end. In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and First Information Report No. 157 dated 15.07.2013 for offence punishable under Section 506 of the Indian Penal Code (in short "I.P.C.") registered at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendergarh and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

March 26, 2015
Sarita-I