

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.6073 of 2015
Date of Decision: 08.05.2015

ANITA

.....Petitioner

Vs

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, P.P., UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Harish Chhabra, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.3 vide order dated 21.11.2014 passed in **CRM-M No.31655 of 2014** on the ground that dowry articles have not been recovered so far and statement made to that effect was wrong.

The Bench while passing the aforesaid order has specifically mentioned in para No.5 of the order that majority of dowry articles were stated to have already been recovered by the Police and learned counsel were *ad idem* that petitioner had made payment of Rs.34,000/- to the complainant in lieu of remaining gold

ornaments. Furthermore the presence of complainant was duly recorded along with her Advocate.

In view of aforesaid, no ground is made out for cancellation of anticipatory bail, however petitioner would be at liberty to take recourse to any other proceeding available to her in accordance with law.

Petitions stands dismissed.

May 08, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**