

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6130-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 21, 2015

Kuldeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Parminster Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana.
Mr.Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Mr.Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate, learned counsel for respondent No.2/informant submits that as per information received, the petitioner has been acquitted by learned trial Court and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

December 21, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE