

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-612-2014**

**Date of decision: 3.12.2015**

Akhtar Ali and others

...Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

**Present:** Mr.M.S.Kathuria, Advocate for  
Mr.Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)**

Petitioners, by way of instant petition, seek quashing of impugned complaint as well as summoning order, however, without availing their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition, if necessity arises. It is also made clear that if the petitioners would file appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioners.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**3.12.2015**

**mks**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA  
2015.12.05 12:11  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**

**JUDGE**