

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M- 6022 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: February 23, 2015.

Pinki and another

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Ram Pal Vema, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondent No.4 and other relatives because they are stated to have contracted marriage between themselves on 16.02.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 14.02.1988 and 01.01.1984, respectively. True copies of Adhaar Card of petitioner No.1 and PAN Card of petitioner No.2 of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2 though perusal of Annexure P1 shows that no specific date is mentioned and only the year of birth

i.e., 1988 has been mentioned. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P1 and P2. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Panipat for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Sullar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Panipat is directed to decide representation dated 18.02.2015 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 23, 2015.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE