

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.5855 of 2015

Date of decision: 6th October, 2015

Arshdeep Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. R.S. Pandher, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

None for remaining respondents.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Report dated 18.05.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Ludhiana has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Kuldeep Kaur, Kamaljit Kaur, Hakam Singh and Paramjit Singh as well as the accused persons namely Arshdeep Singh, Gurjit Singh, Gurpreet Singh, Gurpiar Singh, Jaswant Singh, Gurjinder Singh alias Ginda, Gurmeet Kaur, Manpreet Kaur alias Shabbo, Khushpreet Kaur and Manpreet Kaur, the Court has shown its satisfaction that though one of the accused namely Ravinder Singh has not come present to make statement as to the compromise,

however the compromise Ex.AB has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a case of version and cross-version, the fact that parties belong to the same very village and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of heinous nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.125 dated 04.09.2013 registered at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana under Sections 323/324/427/452/506/148/149 IPC against the present petitioners except Ravinder Singh along with all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 6, 2015
rps