

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5745-2015

Date of Decision: 21.02.2015

PARKASH SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VS

VIJAY ANAND AND ORS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ramesh Chopra , Advocate
for the petitioner.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order of the revisional court setting aside the order of summoning respondent.

The allegations made by the petitioner were that the respondent had induced the petitioner to give him a short term loan of Rs. 1, 20,00,000/-(Rupees One Crore Twenty Lacs Odd) by promising him high returns but actually he had no intention to return the loan. The trial Court ordered summoning of he respondent. Against that the respondent approached the Revisional Court who held that it was a mere situation where a person had taken loan and refused to return and thus the parameters of Section 420 IPC were not made out. I see no reason to take a different view than that taken by the Revisional Court.

Petition is dismissed.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

February 21, 2015
sunita