

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Crl. Misc. No.M-5654 of 2015

Date of Decision: 20.02.2015

Kirna and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ashish K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they are apprehending threat at the instance of private respondent as marriage has been solemnized by them contrary to the wishes of private respondents.

Notice of motion.

Notice on behalf of respondents No.4 to 8 has been accepted by Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 8 submits that the parents of petitioner No.1 are interested to meet their daughter regarding performing of her marriage with petitioner No.2 with certain ceremonies and hence, they were allowed to do so.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not agreed with the proposal made by parents of petitioner No.1.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the

petitioners, learned counsel for the private respondents submits that neither any threat was ever given to the petitioners in past nor they intend to give any threat in future and the private respondents have also no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the private respondents, no direction in the case is required to be issued.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioners still apprehend any threat, they are at liberty to move representation before the authorities concerned, which shall be considered, keeping in view the apprehension of threat.

20.02.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE