

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No. 564 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.01.2015**

Amit Kumar and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of his proof of age petitioner No.1 has not placed on record any document but has filed his affidavit wherein he has deposed his age to be 20 years whereas petitioner

No.2 has placed on record an extract of her Adhaar Card(Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 01.01.1995. They have also moved a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra(Haryana)(Annexure P-4) for protection of their life and liberty.

Though it is true that the petitioner No.1 has not placed on record any proof of his age, yet keeping in view the judgment passed in the case of *Neelam Rani and another vs. State of Haryana and others*, reported as *2011(1) R.C.R. (Civil) 636*, this Court can not deny to give direction to the police to look into the grievance.

Without advertng to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra(Haryana) to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexures P-4).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given dasti to learned counsel for the petitioners on payment of usual charges.

January 08, 2015

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**