

Crl. Misc. No. M-5631 of 2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-5631 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.05.2015

Malkiat Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. Manish Prabhaker, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hemender Goswami, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

**PARAMJEET SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking quashing of case FIR No.51 dated 29.09.2010 registered under Sections 379, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Tarsika, District Amritsar, on the basis of compromise dated 11.07.2011 (Annexure P-2) between the parties.

On 20.02.2015 notice of motion was issued and parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise/settlement and Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to send the report.

In pursuance of order dated 20.02.2015, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Baba Bakala Sahib (Amritsar), has submitted report dated 15.04.2015, which indicates that parties appeared before learned

Crl. Misc. No. M-5631 of 2015

Magistrate and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Learned counsel for the parties state that now no dispute survives between the parties.

Consequently, in view of the compromise and keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Madan Mohan Abbot v. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) RCR (Criminal) 482*** and Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation, especially when this case does not fall within the category of exceptional cases where this Court should not exercise its inherent jurisdictional power to quash the criminal proceedings, as held in ***Gian Singh's*** case (supra). In the facts and circumstances of this case it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of the criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and wrongdoer and to secure the ends of justice, therefore, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end.

The present petition is allowed. FIR No.51 dated 29.09.2010 registered under Sections 379, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Tarsika, District Amritsar , along with all consequential proceedings arising out

Crl. Misc. No. M-5631 of 2015

of it, on the basis of compromise, is quashed.

It is, however, made clear that if the proceedings already stand concluded and conviction recorded in the present case, this order shall be treated non est and, thus, will have no bearing on the conviction and sentence order.

**(Paramjeet Singh)**  
**Judge**

**May 28, 2015**  
**R.S.**