

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.02.20 17:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-5630 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.2.2015

Jagdeep Mehta and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the representation dated 12.2.2015 (Annexure-P-4), moved by petitioner No. 1 to the Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, with carbon copy to other authorities, should be considered by the police.

It comes out that the said representation is stated to have been dispatched on 12.2.2015. Therefore, it will take some time to reach the office of Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, and then the processing will also take some time. However, a perusal of the file shows that the present petition was prepared on 16.2.2015 and filed before this Court on 18.2.2015. Before the said date, the representation dated 12.2.2015 (Annexure-P-4), moved by petitioner No. 1, could not be processed.

In these circumstances, the present petition is held to be pre-mature. Let the petitioners first approach the Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, to know about the action taken on representation dated 12.2.2015 (Annexure-P-4), moved by petitioner No. 1.

Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.2.2015
sjks