

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5620 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.04.2015

Neeraj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Tewari, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for the State.

Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Reply has been filed by learned State counsel.

The instant petition has been filed for quashing FIR No.929 dated 31.12.2011 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325 506 IPC, Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal and the subsequent proceedings on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report from the trial Court has been received after recording the statements of parties, alongwith original statements. It is reported that compromise is voluntary and without any coercion or

undue influence.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Dalbir Singh submits that petitioners are the only accused in this FIR, which was recorded by respondent No.2 who is the only aggrieved person.

No useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings in this case in view of the compromise arrived at between them.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgement of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H)** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.929 dated 31.12.2011 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325 506 IPC, Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal and the subsequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof, are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

21.04.2015
sk