

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Crl. Misc. No.M-5590 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.02.2015

Gurvinder Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Crl. Misc. No.6347 of 2015

This is an application for placing on record Annexure P-1.

Criminal Misc. Application is allowed and Annexure P-1 is taken on record.

Crl. Misc. No.M-5590 of 2015

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.03 dated 07.01.2015 under Sections 323/147/148/149/326-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Balongi, District Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the case and there was a delay of more than seven months in lodging of the said FIR. He further submits that the present FIR has been registered just a counter-blast of the earlier FIR registered at the instance of present petitioners. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused of the petitioners, namely, Nirmal Kaur @ Nimmi,

Renu @ Preeti and Bhinder Kaur have been granted anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Mohali vide order dated 06.02.2015. Learned counsel further submits that the injuries attributed to the present petitioners are simple in nature.

Heard the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners and have also perused the allegations in the FIR.

As far as the case of co-accused of the petitioners is concerned, the reasons have been given in the order while granting bail to them that no specific injuries were attributed to them and by considering the advance stage of pregnancy of co-accused Bhinder Kaur. Both the petitioners, in the present petition, were armed with *knife* and acid was poured by them on the complainant, due to which she remained admitted in the hospital and her statement was recorded. The nature of injury is not relevant, in case, the intention is otherwise.

Keeping in view the role and seriousness of offence, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

25.02.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE