

249

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.5460 of 2015
Date of Decision: 07.07.2015

BEANT SINGH @ BHOLA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate and
Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.45 dated 09.09.2014, under Sections 363/366/120-B IPC, Police Station Sehna, Distt. Barnala along with entire consequential proceedings at the instance of Beant Singh @ Bhola.

In pursuance to notice of motion, learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Kesar Singh Dhaliwal, PPS Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Tapa. The same is taken on record.

According to preliminary submission Binder Singh @ Popan and the girl Gagandeep Kaur daughter of Sarabjit Singh were

arrested. Statement of Gagandeep Kaur was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., by producing her before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Barnala. According to statement of Gagandeep Kaur under Section 164 Cr.P.C., she is a graduate and her marriage was solemnised with Binder Singh on 08.09.2014 against the wishes of her parents. She admitted her marriage to be with her free will.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Harbans Singh states that Police has already filed cancellation report in this case on 04.05.2015.

Petitioner claims that he is not even remotely connected with the relationship of Binder Singh and Gagandeep Kaur as well as to the family of complainant. In the event of filing any protest petition before the trial Court and taking of cognizance by the trial Court in pursuance thereto, let opportunity be granted to him to approach this Court afresh.

In view of aforesaid, no further order is required to be passed except the aforesaid liberty in favour of petitioner that in the event of filing any protest petition by the complainant and taking of cognizance by the trial Court, petitioner can approach this Court again.

Disposed of.

July 07, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**