

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5427 of 2015
Date of Decision: 23.04.2015

Naresh KumarPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. R.A. Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioners in case First Information Report No.97 dated 03.03.2014 under Sections 302, 147, 148, 201 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC) registered at Police Station Sadar Dadri, District Bhiwani.

2. Briefly, the accusation of the prosecution was that on 02.03.2014 at about 7:00 pm Ravinder, brother of complainant-Vikas, had gone with Sandeep for recovery of rent of Tractor and did not return home thereafter. Vikas got registered a report regarding missing of his brother with the police. Initially, a case under Section 346 of IPC was registered and investigation commenced. During investigation supplementary statement of complainant-Vikas was recorded on 04.03.2014 wherein he disclosed that his uncle Anil Kumar S/o Ram

Karan resident of Dadri had told him that Ravinder @ Chintu was

beaten by Sandeep, Shiv Naryana, Kamal, Naresh (petitioner), Atar Singh, Bijender and Kedara.

3. Heard the submissions made by Mr. R.A. Sheoran, Advocate representing the petitioner and Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana representing the State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the story presented by the prosecution was highly improbable. The petitioner was implicated on the basis of statement of co-accused which had no value in the eyes of law. He also had no motive to commit the murder. Submitting that the petitioner is in custody since 20.03.2014. Learned counsel prayed that he be enlarged on regular bail.

5. The prayer is opposed by learned State counsel. She submitted that all witnesses of the prosecution have been examined during the trial and the case is now fixed for defence evidence and arguments.

6. Apparently, there are serious allegations against the petitioner the trial has reached its fag end. At this stage there appears no ground for releasing the petitioner on regular bail and the instant petition is hereby dismissed.

April 23, 2015
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE